

The questions submitted below are from firms interested in submitting proposals for this contract solicitation.

#	Bidder Question	SPU Response
1	On page 6 of the RFQ, it states that we should submit “one original (1) unbound, seven (8) bound copies...” Can you please clarify how many bound copies we should submit, 7 or 8?	Seven
2	At the end of Attachment 2: Consultant Agreement, it references Appendices 1 through 5. Where can we access these appendices?	The will be uploaded to eBid with this Q&A form. Except Appendix 2: City of Seattle CAD Standards – RESERVED This is not needed on this contract.
3	In addition to the specific items requested on page 13 of 16 of the RFQ (and outside of the page limits listed for these sections), can we provide additional relevant information within our submittal? In particular, can we include additional more general experience information in the Similar Projects/Experience section; and can we include project understanding and approach information in an appendix?	Any additional content will not be reviewed and considered during the scoring. Time is better spent on meeting the requirements of the RFQ.
4	What level of detail do you envision for the financial model that is developed as part of Task 6, as described on page 4 and 5 of Attachment 1 – Scope of Work? Would you be able to provide printed copies of your existing model that is to be replaced as an example?	Very detailed. Needs to be able to model bonds, loans, cash flow, etc. Please see scope for more information. SPU will not be providing printed copies of current financial model.
5	Given that the new financial planning model is to be delivered after the affordability analysis (per schedule in Attachment 1), will the existing financial planning model's results be used to forecast the potential affordability implications of the Consent Decree and Integrated Plan?	Yes, however this will be an interactive process.